						OMB APPROVAL	
Required	OMB Number: 3235-0045 Estimated average burden hours per response						
Page 1 d	of * 58	WASHING	EXCHANGE COMMIS GTON, D.C. 20549 form 19b-4		File No. adment No. (req. for	* SR - 2017 - * 013 Amendments *)	
Filing by Banque Centrale de Compensation Pursuant to Rule 19b-4 under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934							
Initial '	Amendment *	Withdrawal	Section 19(b)(2) *	Sectio	on 19(b)(3)(A) * Rule	Section 19(b)(3)(B) *	
Pilot	Extension of Time Period for Commission Action *	Date Expires *	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$				
	of proposed change pursuant f	to the Payment, Clear Section 806(e)(2) *	-	of 2010	Security-Based Sw to the Securities Ex Section 3C(b)(-	
Exhibit 2 Sent As Paper Document Exhibit 3 Sent As Paper Document							
Description							
Provid	e a brief description of the action	on (limit 250 character	s required when Initial	is checked *	*)		
Provide a brief description of the action (limit 250 characters, required when Initial is checked *). LCH SA is proposing to adopt an updated wind down plan in accordance with Rule 17Ad-22(e)(3)(ii).							
Contact Information Provide the name, telephone number, and e-mail address of the person on the staff of the self-regulatory organization prepared to respond to questions and comments on the action.							
First N	lame * Mohamed		Last Name * MEZIAN	NE			
Title *							
E-mail	E-mail * mohamed.meziane@lch.com						
Teleph	none * (000) 000-0000	Fax					
Signature Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, has duly caused this filing to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.							
(Title *)							
Date	11/27/2017		Chief Compliance Offi	cer			
Ву	Francois FAURE						
(Name *) NOTE: Clicking the button at right will digitally sign and lock this form. A digital signature is as legally binding as a physical signature, and once signed, this form cannot be changed.							

Required fields are shown with yellow backgrounds and asterisks.

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549						
For complete Form 19b-4 instructions please refer to the EFFS website.						
Form 19b-4 Information *AddRemoveView	The self-regulatory organization must provide all required information, presented in a clear and comprehensible manner, to enable the public to provide meaningful comment on the proposal and for the Commission to determine whether the proposal is consistent with the Act and applicable rules and regulations under the Act.					
Exhibit 1 - Notice of Proposed Rule Change *	The Notice section of this Form 19b-4 must comply with the guidelines for publication in the Federal Register as well as any requirements for electronic filing as published by the Commission (if applicable). The Office of the Federal Register (OFR) offers guidance on Federal Register publication requirements in the Federal Register					
Add Remove View	Document Drafting Handbook, October 1998 Revision. For example, all references to the federal securities laws must include the corresponding cite to the United States Code in a footnote. All references to SEC rules must include the corresponding cite to the Code of Federal Regulations in a footnote. All references to Securities Exchange Act Releases must include the release number, release date, Federal Register cite, Federal Register date, and corresponding file number (e.g., SR-[SRO] -xx-xx). A material failure to comply with these guidelines will result in the proposed rule change being deemed not properly filed. See also Rule 0-3 under the Act (17 CFR 240.0-3)					
Exhibit 1A- Notice of Proposed Rule Change, Security-Based Swap Submission, or Advance Notice by Clearing Agencies * Add Remove View	The Notice section of this Form 19b-4 must comply with the guidelines for publication in the Federal Register as well as any requirements for electronic filing as published by the Commission (if applicable). The Office of the Federal Register (OFR) offers guidance on Federal Register publication requirements in the Federal Register Document Drafting Handbook, October 1998 Revision. For example, all references to the federal securities laws must include the corresponding cite to the United States Code in a footnote. All references to SEC rules must include the corresponding cite to the Code of Federal Regulations in a footnote. All references to Securities Exchange Act Releases must include the release number, release date, Federal Register cite, Federal Register date, and corresponding file number (e.g., SR-[SRO] -xx-xx). A material failure to comply with these guidelines will result in the proposed rule change, security-based swap submission, or advance notice being deemed not properly filed. See also Rule 0-3 under the Act (17 CFR 240.0-3)					
Exhibit 2 - Notices, Written Comments, Transcripts, Other Communications Add Remove View Exhibit Sent As Paper Document	Copies of notices, written comments, transcripts, other communications. If such documents cannot be filed electronically in accordance with Instruction F, they shall be filed in accordance with Instruction G.					
Exhibit 3 - Form, Report, or Questionnaire Add Remove View Exhibit Sent As Paper Document	Copies of any form, report, or questionnaire that the self-regulatory organization proposes to use to help implement or operate the proposed rule change, or that is referred to by the proposed rule change.					
Exhibit 4 - Marked CopiesAddRemoveView	The full text shall be marked, in any convenient manner, to indicate additions to and deletions from the immediately preceding filing. The purpose of Exhibit 4 is to permit the staff to identify immediately the changes made from the text of the rule with which it has been working.					
Add Remove View	The self-regulatory organization may choose to attach as Exhibit 5 proposed changes to rule text in place of providing it in Item I and which may otherwise be more easily readable if provided separately from Form 19b-4. Exhibit 5 shall be considered part of the proposed rule change.					
Partial Amendment Add Remove View	If the self-regulatory organization is amending only part of the text of a lengthy proposed rule change, it may, with the Commission's permission, file only those portions of the text of the proposed rule change in which changes are being made if the filing (i.e. partial amendment) is clearly understandable on its face. Such partial amendment shall be clearly identified and marked to show deletions and additions.					

Form 19b-4 Information

Item 1. <u>Text of Proposed Rule Change</u>

Banque Centrale de Compensation, which conducts business under the name LCH SA ("LCH SA"), is proposing to adopt an updated wind down plan (the "WDP") in accordance with Rule 17Ad-22(e)(3)(ii). The text of the proposed rule change has been annexed as Exhibit 5. LCH SA has requested confidential treatment of the material submitted as Exhibit 5.

(b) Not applicable.

(c) Not applicable.

Item 2. Procedure of the Self-Regulatory Organization

LCH SA has completed all of the required action to be taken to approve the proposed rule change. The proposed WDP was approved by the LCH SA Executive Risk Committee on 7th of November 2017, by the Risk Committee on 21st of November 2017, and Board on the 22nd November 2017. No further approvals are necessary.

Questions should be addressed to François Faure, Chief Compliance Officer, at <u>françois.faure@lch.com</u> or +33 1 70 37 65 96; or Mohamed Meziane, Senior Regulatory Advisor, Compliance Department, at mohamed.meziane@lch.com or +33 1 70 37 65 62.

Item 3.Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of Purpose, and Statutory
Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

(a) <u>Purpose</u>

On September 28, 2016, the Securities and Exchange Commission (the

"Commission") adopted amendments to Rule 17Ad-22¹ pursuant to Section 17A of the

¹ 17 CFR 240.17Ad-22

File No. SR-LCH SA-2017-013 Page 4 of 58

Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the "Act")² and the Payment, Clearing and Settlement Supervision Act of 2010 ("Clearing Supervision Act")³ to establish enhanced standards for the operation and governance of those clearing agencies registered with the Commission that meet the definition of a "covered clearing agency," as defined by Rule $17Ad-22(a)(5)^4$ (collectively, the new and amended rules are herein referred to as "CCA rules").

LCH SA is a covered clearing agency under the CCA rules and therefore is subject to the requirements of the CCA rules, including Rule 17Ad-22(e)(3). The CCA rules require that covered clearing agencies, among other things: "establish, implement, maintain and enforce written policies and procedures reasonably designed to … maintain a sound risk management framework for comprehensively managing legal, credit, liquidity, operational, general business, investment, custody, and other risks that arise in or are borne by the covered clearing agency, which … includes plans for the recovery and orderly wind-down of the covered clearing agency necessitated by credit losses, liquidity shortfalls, losses from general business risk, or any other losses."⁵

As a central counterparty recognized under the European Market Infrastructure Regulation ("**EMIR**"), LCH SA is also required to have in place relevant recovery and wind down mechanisms required under EMIR⁶.

As a credit institution based in the European Union, LCH SA is also subject to Directive 2014/59/EU, as supplemented, requiring institutions to draw up and maintain

² 15 U.S.C. 78q-1

³ 12 U.S.C. 5461 et. seq.

⁴ 17 CFR 240.17Ad-22(a)(5).

⁵ 17 CFR 240.17Ad-22(e)(3)(ii).

⁶ Regulation (EU) No. 152/2013 of 19 December 2012, Article 2.

recovery plans setting forth options for measures to be taken by the institution to restore its financial position following a significant deterioration of its financial position.

Accordingly, as described in more detailed below, the purpose of the WDP is to ensure an orderly wind down of the CCP under extreme circumstances and to limit market impact as much as possible, should the recovery plan (the "**RP**")⁷ has failed.

The WDP sets out the steps that LCH SA would follow to close its clearing services and shut down the company. The plan demonstrates how LCH SA, as it exists today, can achieve this orderly wind down within six (6) months.

In addition, LCH SA holds capital, funded by equity, equal to the operating expenses for a six (6) month period. The WDP demonstrates that the wind down cost remains inferior to the necessary amount.

The WDP would first determine the triggers for winding down and the relationship between Recovery, Resolution and Wind down. In these extreme circumstances, the CCP would first trigger the RP. The WDP would be triggered by LCH SA if, the recovery tools having been exhausted and having failed, the only solution left for LCH SA would be to wind down its clearing services and close the company.

The triggers are only briefly presented in the WDP since they are described in detail in the RP. They consider Clearing Member Defaults losses well above the CCPs financial resources; Clearing Member Defaults creating large liquidity shortfalls and Non Clearing Members Defaults impacting capital adequacy or creating liquidity shortfalls. This could be caused by large events such as operational events, custody and investment events or large business events. The WDP would be triggered by LCH SA if, the recovery

⁷ See LCH filing number SR-LCH-2017-012

File No. SR-LCH SA-2017-013 Page 6 of 58

tools having been exhausted and having failed, the only solution for LCH SA would be to wind down its clearing services and close the company.

The WDP would not consider any other case such as a voluntary wind down not being triggered by one of the above extreme circumstances.

The WDP would then describe the governance for triggering the plan. The decision to wind down would be taken by the Board and ultimately the shareholders' meeting upon advice of the Executive Risk Committee ("ERCo") and Local Management Committee ("LMC"). The implementation of the WDP would be monitored by the LCH SA LMC or Default Crisis Management Team ("DCMT"), the executive committee in charge of the coordination of defaults.

The regulatory authorities would be consulted before such a decision is taken and the French *Autorité de Contrôle Prudentiel et de Resolution* (the "**ACPR**") would have to approve such a decision. They would be subsequently regularly informed of the implementation of the plan.

LCH SA being a credit institution, it could be subject to a resolution regime decided by the ACPR whilst conducting its recovery plan and before a wind down would be decided by the company. In that case, the decision to wind down as well the process to be followed would be decided by the resolution authority.

The plan would then define a certain number of assumptions. It would firstly assume that the CCP as it stands today would be wound down until its full closure, although it is likely that, in the phases preceding the plan, some businesses would have been either closed or scaled down. It also makes other assumptions that allow continuation of business for some time and proper closing such as the fact that LCH SA

File No. SR-LCH SA-2017-013 Page 7 of 58

would keep its banking license and continue to have full access to the central bank or that suppliers, which would continue to be paid, would continue to offer a service.

In line with the RP, the WDP would present a mapping of the functions and particularly distinguishes between the clearing functions, which are all considered as critical, the critical supporting functions and the other non-critical functions.

The plan would then describe the closure of the clearing services. The closure of CDSClear is covered in Article 2.4.3.1 of CDS Clearing Rule book and in the Clause 8 of Appendix 1. It specifies that LCH SA would publish a notice to clearing members notifying that a wind down event has occurred and to the extent possible the date on which transactions shall cease to be accepted on the CDS Clearing service. LCH SA would publish the clearing notice as far in advance of the Early Termination Trigger Date as it is reasonably possible. The plan would indicated that, in a non-default situation and more generally in a situation where the corresponding business line is not suffering, LCH SA would give some time for a maximum of trades to settle naturally and for the clearing members to close their longer positions and switch to another CCP.

The closing of the business would be done through cash settlement and the repayments amounts would be paid by LCH SA to the clearing members on the business following notification.

The WDP would then describe how critical supporting functions would be closed. The treasury function would close once all clearing services have been terminated and all monies paid by LCH SA and/or the clearing members. Once wind down is decided, cash would not be invested anymore but deposited at the central bank or possibly invested in same day repos. Operations, IT production, and Risk management teams would be kept until all positions are closed. At that moment, the majority of staff in these areas would not be required any more.

It has to be noted that the WDP would list all contracts with external providers, including venues and IT companies to which LCH SA has outsourced services. They contain wind down provisions, enabling LCH SA to exit these contracts under specific conditions.

Non critical support functions such as Finance, Compliance, Audit etc. would start being scaled down immediately after the decision is taken to wind down. The path at which each department is expected to reduce its workforce is specified in the plan. Consultation with the LCH SA's staff representatives (works council) would start immediately in order to ensure a proper departure of permanent staff in line with French law and regulations and those of the countries in which LCH SA has branches/representative offices. Staff approach for winding down would be described in more detail in the WDP.

The WDP would contain an overall timeline of the full wind down process. This plan shows that LCH SA would be in a position to close the company within six (6) months as required by applicable regulations.

The WDP would also contain an appendix describing into more details the communication processes that would be followed both internally and externally. It specifies that the wind down notice would be published on the LCH SA website and that the teams within LCH SA and the LSEG group would be responsible communications.

Separately from the WDP, but in line with the processes and timeline described in the WDP, LCH SA calculates the costs required for a wind down. It encompasses staff salaries, indemnities for staff departure, cost to be paid to suppliers during notice periods and more generally all foreseeable costs that would be due in case of a wind down event. The final figure is reported in the WDP and shows that overall costs is significantly below the liquid assets held by LCH SA for that purpose and corresponding to six (6) months of operational expenses.

The first version of the WDP was adopted in 2014 and is reviewed on an annual basis. It is approved by the LCH SA Risk Committee, and Board.

The WDP, which was approved by the Board on November 22nd 2017, has been annexed as Exhibit 5. LCH SA has requested confidential treatment of the plan as Exhibit 5, however the main characteristics are described above and a self comprehensive disclosure, as required by SEC Rule 17AD-22(e)(23), has been published on the LCH website in April 2017.

(b) <u>Statutory Basis</u>

Rule 17Ad–22(e)(3)(ii) requires a covered clearing agency to establish,

implement, maintain and enforce written policies and procedures reasonably designed to ensure that it establishes plans for the orderly wind-down of the covered clearing agency necessitated by credit losses, liquidity shortfalls, losses from general business risk, or any other losses.

LCH SA believes that the plan meets this requirement as it describes the scenarios and events that may threaten its ability to continue to provide critical⁸ clearing services and the processes that LCH SA would follow to manage an orderly wind down of the CCP.

⁸ The CPSS-IOSCO Report states that 'Critical' refers to the importance of the services to the Financial Market Infrastructures (FMIs) participants, other FMIs, and to the smooth functioning of the markets the FMI serves and in particular, the maintenance of financial stability.

File No. SR-LCH SA-2017-013 Page 10 of 58

LCH SA has an obligation to guarantee the continuous performance of critical service towards the market and, as such, will not request to enact a wind down without an important triggering event and a failed recovery situation. Scenarios have been categorised into the following for the purposes of assessing the effectiveness of the recovery tools and to identify the actions required for the WDP:

- Member default losses resulting in uncovered credit losses or liquidity shortfalls;
- Non-default losses that threaten LCH SA's solvency, arising from general business risks, custody and investment risks, any other large operational risks caused by caused by a human or system failure and
- Uncovered liquidity shortfall associated to these risks.

LCH SA has in place a Recovery Plan ("**RP**") which has been submitted separately to the SEC⁹. The WDP assumes that all recovery and resolution tools have been exhausted, have failed, and thus require LCH SA to wind down its clearing services. The reasons for these losses are described in more detail in the RP.

The plan describes the governance for triggering the wind down and the approval steps required. The triggering of the plan will have to be decided by LCH SA and LCH Group Boards as well as by a shareholders' meeting. It will have to be approved by ACPR unless LCH SA has already closed down all its clearing activities.

It is to be noted that the plan could be also triggered by the resolution authorities as part of the resolution toolkit if LCH SA has been put into resolution.

⁹ See Supra note 7.

File No. SR-LCH SA-2017-013 Page 11 of 58

From a legal point of view, the WDP would be supported by the Article 2.4.3.1 of the CDS Clearing Rule Book, clause 8 and 8.7 of Appendix 1 of the CDS Clearing Rule Book. It is also supported by similar clauses in the Fixed Income and Cash and Derivatives RuleBook for these business lines. All agreements concluded by LCH SA, particularly with its suppliers and trading venue include wind down clauses.

From an operational point of view, the WDP is supported by detailed procedures where required. They have however not been attached to the plan as they are not specific to wind down. They are tested during default fire drills, to verify their applicability and ensure regular training of staff.

From a financial point of view, the WDP is supported by highly liquid assets equivalent to six (6) months' worth of Operational expenses. The plan would show that the cost of closure is inferior to that amount.

The plan would take into account the fact that a closure of the CCP could be very disruptive for the market, therefore, in a non member default situation and more generally in a situation where the Business line is not suffering clearing losses, a notice will be given to clearing members in order to give them time to terminate their trades before reaching the early termination trigger.

Rule 17Ad–22(e)(15)(i) requires a covered clearing agency to establish, implement, maintain and enforce written policies and procedures reasonably designed to determine the amount of liquid net assets funded by equity based upon its general business risk profile and the length of time required to achieve a recovery or orderly wind-down, as appropriate, of its critical operations and services if such action is taken.

LCH believes that the proposed WDP meets this requirement as the plan demonstrates how LCH can achieve an orderly wind down within six (6) months. LCH

File No. SR-LCH SA-2017-013 Page 12 of 58

holds capital, funded by equity, equal to the operating expenses for the six month period required to wind down. The capital is invested in cash or highly liquid securities which could be easily mobilised, even in extreme circumstances. LCH bases its calculation on the latest audited expenses.

The cost to wind down is inferior to this amount. It would take into account the salaries to be paid to staff until they leave the company and include termination costs. Similarly, it takes into account the costs that would have to be paid to external service providers until the service is no longer required. Each contract contains wind down clauses which limit the exit costs that SA would have to pay. Where they exist, they are included in the overall wind down costs. Legal costs that LCH would face in such extreme circumstances cannot be evaluated and have not been included. However, the current overall cost of winding down is very significantly under the 6 months equivalent of operational expenses and therefore unforeseen costs could be accommodated.

Rule 17Ad–22(e)(15)(ii) requires a clearing agency to establish, implement, maintain and enforce written policies and procedures reasonably designed to provide for holding liquid net assets funded by equity equal to the greater of either six months of its current operating expenses or the amount determined by the board of directors to be sufficient to ensure a recovery or orderly wind-down of critical operations and services of the covered clearing agency, as contemplated by the plans established under Rule 17Ad– 22(e)(3)(ii).

LCH SA believes that its proposed WDP meet this requirement given the demonstration that LCH SA can achieve an orderly wind down within six (6) months and at a cost lower than the six (6) months of Operational expenses that it holds in cash or highly liquid securities.

File No. SR-LCH SA-2017-013 Page 13 of 58

Reviews of the WDP take place annually and where appropriate are aligned to existing annual market exercise regimes (e.g. annual firedrills) in order to simulate the implications of executing the Recovery and/or Wind Down Plans to ensure they remain relevant. Additionally, where the underlying business model of LCH SA is amended, the change framework in place ensures the implication of the change to the business model is considered with reference to the WDP and the necessary updates made. The version of the WDP attached in Appendix 5 has been approved by the ERCo, Risk Committee, Board.

Item 4. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Burden on Competition

Section 17A(b)(3)(I) of the Act requires that the rules of a clearing agency not impose any burden on competition not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Act.¹⁰ LCH SA does not believe the proposed rule change would impact or impose any burden on competition.

The proposed rule change would establish and maintain LCH SA's WDP in accordance with and for the purposes of the CCA rules. The Plan would not affect clearing member's access to services offered by LCH SA or impose any direct burden on clearing members.

In the extreme case in which LCH SA would have to wind down, and the business line is not suffering clearing losses, the same amount of time would be given to all the Clearing Members to close their positions at LCH SA. In addition, the plan determines that the clearing services would be closed globally, all members being treated identically.

¹⁰ 15 U.S.C. 78q-1(b)(3)(I).

File No. SR-LCH SA-2017-013 Page 14 of 58

Accordingly, the proposed rule change would not unfairly inhibit market

participant's access to LCH SA's services or disadvantage or favor any particular user in relationship to another user.

Therefore, LCH SA does not believe that the proposed rule change imposes any burden on competition that is not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Act.

Item 5. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Comments on the Proposed Rule Change Received from Members, Participants or Others

Written comments relating to the proposed rule change have not been solicited or received. LCH SA will notify the Commission of any written comments received by LCH

SA.

Item 6. <u>Extension of Time Period for Commission Action</u>

LCH SA does not consent to the extension of the time period listed in Section

19(b)(2) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 for Commission action.

Item 7.Basis for Summary Effectiveness Pursuant to Section 19(b)(3) or for
Accelerated Effectiveness Pursuant to Section 19(b)(2) or Section
19(b)(7)(D)

- (a) Not applicable.
- (b) Not applicable.
- (c) Not applicable.
- (d) Not applicable.

Item 8.Proposed Rule Change Based on Rules of Another Self-Regulatory
Organization or the Commission

The proposed rule change is not based on the rules of another self-regulatory

organization or the Commission.

Item 9. <u>Security-Based Swap Submissions Filed Pursuant to Section 3C of the</u> <u>Act</u>

Not applicable.

Item 10.Advance Notices Filed Pursuant to Section 806(e) of the Payment,
Clearing and Settlement Supervision Act

Not applicable

Item 11. Exhibits

Exhibit 1A – Notice of proposed rule change for publication in the Federal

Register.

Exhibit 5 – Text of LCH SA Wind-down Plan. Omitted and filed separately

with the Commission. Confidential treatment of this Exhibit 5 pursuant to 17 CFR

240.24b-2 being requested.

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, Banque

Centrale de Compensation has caused this filing to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned hereunto duly authorized.

BANQUE CENTRALE DE COMPENSATION

aure

By:

Francois Faure Chief Compliance Officer

File No. SR-LCH SA-2017-013 Page 16 of 58

EXHIBIT 1A

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

(Release No. 34- ; File No. SR-LCH SA-2017-013)

[DATE]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; LCH SA; Proposed Rule Change Relating to Wrong Way Risk Margin.

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Act")¹ and Rule 19b-4 thereunder² notice is hereby given that on _____, 2017, Banque Centrale de Compensation, which conducts business under the name LCH SA ("LCH SA"), filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission") the proposed rule change described in Items I, II and III below, which Items have been prepared primarily by LCH SA. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

I. <u>Clearing Agency's Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule</u> <u>Change</u>

LCH SA is proposing to adopt an updated wind down plan (the "**WDP**") in accordance with Rule 17Ad-22(e)(3)(ii). The text of the proposed rule change has been annexed as Exhibit 5. LCH SA has requested confidential treatment of the material submitted as Exhibit 5.

¹ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

² 17 CFR 240.19b-4.

II. <u>Clearing Agency's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the</u> <u>Proposed Rule Change</u>

In its filing with the Commission, LCH SA included statements concerning the purpose of and basis for the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of these statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. LCH SA has prepared summaries, set forth in sections A, B, and C below, of the most significant aspects of these statements.

A. <u>Clearing Agency's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for,</u> <u>the Proposed Rule Change.</u>

1. <u>Purpose</u>

On September 28, 2016, the Securities and Exchange Commission (the

"Commission") adopted amendments to Rule $17Ad-22^3$ pursuant to Section 17A of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the "Act")⁴ and the Payment, Clearing and Settlement Supervision Act of 2010 ("Clearing Supervision Act")⁵ to establish enhanced standards for the operation and governance of those clearing agencies registered with the Commission that meet the definition of a "covered clearing agency," as defined by Rule $17Ad-22(a)(5)^6$ (collectively, the new and amended rules are herein referred to as "CCA rules").

LCH SA is a covered clearing agency under the CCA rules and therefore is subject to the requirements of the CCA rules, including Rule 17Ad-22(e)(3). The CCA rules require that covered clearing agencies, among other things: "establish, implement,

³ 17 CFR 240.17Ad-22

⁴ 15 U.S.C. 78q-1

⁵ 12 U.S.C. 5461 et. seq.

⁶ 17 CFR 240.17Ad-22(a)(5).

maintain and enforce written policies and procedures reasonably designed to ... maintain a sound risk management framework for comprehensively managing legal, credit, liquidity, operational, general business, investment, custody, and other risks that arise in or are borne by the covered clearing agency, which ... includes plans for the recovery and orderly wind-down of the covered clearing agency necessitated by credit losses, liquidity shortfalls, losses from general business risk, or any other losses."⁷

As a central counterparty recognized under the European Market Infrastructure Regulation ("**EMIR**"), LCH SA is also required to have in place relevant recovery and wind down mechanisms required under EMIR⁸.

As a credit institution based in the European Union, LCH SA is also subject to Directive 2014/59/EU, as supplemented, requiring institutions to draw up and maintain recovery plans setting forth options for measures to be taken by the institution to restore its financial position following a significant deterioration of its financial position.

Accordingly, as described in more detailed below, the purpose of the WDP is to ensure an orderly wind down of the CCP under extreme circumstances and to limit market impact as much as possible, should the recovery plan (the "**RP**") ⁹ has failed.

The WDP sets out the steps that LCH SA would follow to close its clearing services and shut down the company. The plan demonstrates how LCH SA, as it exists today, can achieve this orderly wind down within six (6) months.

⁷ 17 CFR 240.17Ad-22(e)(3)(ii).

⁸ Regulation (EU) No. 152/2013 of 19 December 2012, Article 2.

⁹ See Filing N° SR-LCH SA-2017-012

In addition, LCH SA holds capital, funded by equity, equal to the operating expenses for a six (6) month period. The WDP demonstrates that the wind down cost remains inferior to the necessary amount.

The WDP would first determine the triggers for winding down and the relationship between Recovery, Resolution and Wind down. In these extreme circumstances, the CCP would first trigger the recovery plan. The WDP would be triggered by LCH SA if, the recovery tools having been exhausted and having failed, the only solutions left for LCH SA would be to wind down its clearing services and close the company.

The triggers are only briefly presented in the WDP since they are described in detail in the RP. They consider Clearing Member Defaults losses well above the CCPs financial resources; Clearing Member Defaults creating large liquidity shortfalls and Non Clearing Members Defaults impacting capital adequacy or creating liquidity shortfalls. This could be caused by large risks such as operational events, custody and investment risks or large business risks. The WDP would be triggered by LCH SA if, the recovery tools having been exhausted and having failed, the only solution for LCH SA would be to wind down its clearing services and close the company.

The WDP would not consider any other case such as a voluntary wind down not being triggered by one of the above extreme circumstances.

The WDP would then describe the governance for triggering the plan. The decision to wind down would be taken by the Board and ultimately the shareholders' meeting upon advice of the Executive Risk Committee ("**ERCo**") and Local Management Committee ("**LMC**"). The implementation of the WDP would be monitored

by the LCH SA LMC or Default Crisis Management Team ("**DCMT**"), the executive committee in charge of the coordination of defaults.

The regulatory authorities would be consulted before such a decision is taken and the French *Autorité de Contrôle Prudentiel et de Resolution* (the "**ACPR**") would have to approve such a decision, unless all the clearing service have already been closed. They would be subsequently regularly informed of the implementation of the plan.

LCH SA being a credit institution, it could be subject to a resolution regime decided by the ACPR whilst conducting its recovery plan and before a wind down would be decided by the company. In that case, the decision to wind down as well the process to be followed would be decided by the resolution authority.

The plan would then define a certain number of assumptions. It would firstly assume that the CCP as it stands today would be wound down until its full closure, although it is likely that in the phases preceding the plan, some businesses would have been either closed or scaled down. It also makes other assumptions that allows continuation of business for some time and proper closing such as the fact that LCH SA would keep its banking license and continue to have full access to the central bank or that suppliers, which would continue to be paid, would continue to offer a service.

In line with the RP, the WDP would present a mapping of the functions and particularly distinguishes between the clearing functions, which are all considered as critical, the critical supporting functions and the other non-critical functions.

The plan would then describe the closure of the clearing services. The closure of CDSClear is covered in Article 2.4.3.1 of CDS Clearing Rule book and in the Clause 8 of Appendix 1. It specifies that LCH SA would publish a notice to clearing members

File No. SR-LCH SA-2017-013 Page 21 of 58

notifying that a wind down event has occurred and to the extent possible the date on which transactions shall cease to be accepted on the CDS Clearing service. LCH SA would publish the clearing notice as far in advance of the Early Termination Trigger Date as it is reasonably possible. The plan would indicated that, in a non-default situation and more generally in a situation where the corresponding business line is not suffering, LCH would give some time for a maximum of trades to settle naturally and for the clearing members to close their longer positions and switch to another CCP.

The closing of the business would be done through cash settlement and the repayments amounts would be paid by LCH SA and the clearing members on the business following notification.

The WDP would then describe how critical supporting functions would be closed. The treasury function would close once all clearing services have been terminated and all monies paid by LCH SA and/or the clearing members. Once wind down is decided, cash would not be invested anymore but deposited at the central bank or possibly invested in same day repos. Operations, IT production, and Risk teams would be kept until all positions are closed. At that moment, the majority of staff in these areas would not be required any more.

It has to be noted that the WDP would list all contracts with external providers, including venues and IT companies to which LCH SA has outsourced services. They contain wind down provisions, enabling LCH SA to exit these contracts under specific conditions.

Non critical support functions such as Finance, Compliance, Audit etc. would start being scaled down immediately after the decision is taken to wind down. The path at

File No. SR-LCH SA-2017-013 Page 22 of 58

which each department is expected to reduce its workforce is specified in the plan. Consultation with the LCH SA's staff representatives (works council) would start immediately in order to ensure a proper departure of permanent staff in line with French law and regulations and those of the countries in which LCH SA has branches/representative offices. Staff approach for winding down would be described in more detail in the WDP.

The WDP would contain an overall timeline of the full wind down process. This plan shows that LCH SA would be in a position to close the company within six (6) months as required by applicable regulations.

The WDP would also contain an appendix describing into more details the communication processes that would be followed both internal and external. It specifies that the wind down notice would be published on the LCH SA website and the teams within LCH SA and the LSEG group that would be responsible for each type of communications.

Separately from the WDP, but in line with the processes and timeline described in the WDP, LCH SA calculates the costs required for a wind down. It encompasses staff salaries, indemnities for staff departure, cost to be paid to suppliers during notice periods and more generally all foreseeable costs that would be due in case of a wind down event. The final figure is reported in the WDP and shows that overall costs is significantly below the liquid assets held by LCH SA for that purpose and corresponding to six (6) months of operational expenses.

The first version of the WDP was adopted in 2014 and is reviewed on an annual basis. It is approved by the LCH SA Risk Committee, LMC and the Board.

The WDP, which was approved by the Board on November 22nd 2017, has been annexed as Exhibit 5. LCH SA has requested confidential treatment of the plan as Exhibit 5, however the main characteristics are described above and a self comprehensive disclosure, as required by SEC Rule 17AD-22(e)(23), has been published on the LCH website in April 2017.

2. <u>Statutory Basis.</u>

LCH SA believes that the proposed rule change is consistent with the requirements of Section 17A of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934¹⁰ (the "Act") and the regulations thereunder.

Specifically, in accordance with the requirement in Rule 17Ad–22(e)(3)(ii), LCH SA has established a WDP which describes the scenarios and events that may threaten its ability to continue to provide critical¹¹ clearing services and the processes that LCH SA would follow to manage an orderly wind down of the CCP.

LCH SA has an obligation to guarantee the continuous performance of critical service towards the market and, as such, will not request to enact a wind down without an important triggering event that would cause a failed recovery or a resolution situation. Scenarios have been categorised into the following for the purposes of assessing the effectiveness of the recovery tools and to identify the actions required for the WDP:

• Member default losses resulting in uncovered credit losses or liquidity shortfalls;

¹⁰ 15 U.S.C. 78q-1.

¹¹ The CPSS-IOSCO Report states that 'Critical' refers to the importance of the services to the Financial Market Infrastructures (FMIs) participants, other FMIs, and to the smooth functioning of the markets the FMI serves and in particular, the maintenance of financial stability.

- Non-default losses that threaten LCH SA's solvency, arising from general business risks, custody and investment risks, any other large operational risks caused by caused by a human or system failure and
- Uncovered liquidity shortfall associated to these risks.

LCH SA has adopted a Recovery Plan ("**RP**") with an updated version submitted separately to the SEC¹². The WDP assumes that all recovery and resolution tools have been exhausted, have failed, and thus require LCH SA to wind down its clearing services. The reasons for these losses are described in more detail in the RP.

The plan describes the governance for triggering the wind down and the approval steps required. The triggering of the plan will have to be decided by LCH SA and LCH Group Boards as well as by a shareholders' meeting. It will have to be approved by ACPR unless LCH SA has already closed down all its clearing activities.

It is to be noted that the plan could be also triggered by the resolution authorities as part of the resolution toolkit if LCH SA has been put into resolution.

From a legal point of view, the WDP would be supported by the Article 2.4.3.1 of the CDS Clearing Rule Book, clause 8 and 8.7 of Appendix 1 of the CDS Clearing Rule Book. It is also supported by similar clauses in the Fixed Income and Cash and Derivatives RuleBook for these business lines. All agreements concluded by LCH SA, particularly with its suppliers and trading venue include wind down clauses.

From an operational point of view, the WDP is supported by detailed procedures where required. They have however not been attached to the plan as they are not specific

¹² See Supra note 9.

File No. SR-LCH SA-2017-013 Page 25 of 58

to wind down. They are tested during default fire drills, to verify their applicability and ensure regular training of staff.

From a financial point of view, the WDP is supported by highly liquid assets equivalent to 6 months' worth of Operational expenses. The plan would show that the cost of closure is inferior to that amount.

The plan would take into account the fact that a closure of the CCP could be very disruptive for the market, therefore, in a non member default situation and more generally in a situation where the Business line is not suffering clearing losses, a notice will be given to clearing members in order to give them time to terminate their trades before reaching the early termination trigger.

Moreover, Rule 17Ad–22(e)(15)(i) requires a covered clearing agency to establish, implement, maintain and enforce written policies and procedures reasonably designed to determine the amount of liquid net assets funded by equity based upon its general business risk profile and the length of time required to achieve a recovery or orderly wind-down, as appropriate, of its critical operations and services if such action is taken.

LCH SA believes that the proposed rule change is consistent with this requirement as the plan demonstrates how LCH can achieve an orderly wind down within six (6) months. LCH holds capital, funded by equity, equal to the operating expenses for the six month period required to wind down. The capital is invested in cash or highly liquid securities which could be easily mobilised, even in extreme circumstances. LCH bases its calculation on the latest audited expenses.

File No. SR-LCH SA-2017-013 Page 26 of 58

The cost to wind down is inferior to this amount. It would take into account the salaries to be paid to staff until they leave the company and include termination costs. Similarly, it takes into account the costs that would have to be paid to external service providers until the service is no longer required. Each contract contains wind down clauses which limit the exit costs that SA would have to pay. Where they exist, they are included in the overall wind down costs. Legal costs that LCH would face in such extreme circumstances cannot be evaluated and have not been included. However, the current overall cost of winding down is very significantly under the 6 months equivalent of Operational Expenses and therefore could accommodate unforeseen costs.

Rule 17Ad–22(e)(15)(ii) requires a clearing agency to establish, implement, maintain and enforce written policies and procedures reasonably designed to provide for holding liquid net assets funded by equity equal to the greater of either six months of its current operating expenses or the amount determined by the board of directors to be sufficient to ensure a recovery or orderly wind-down of critical operations and services of the covered clearing agency, as contemplated by the plans established under Rule 17Ad– 22(e)(3)(ii).

LCH SA believes that its proposed WDP meet this requirement given the demonstration that LCH SA can achieve an orderly wind down within six (6) months and at a cost lower than the six (6) months of Operational expenses that it holds in cash or highly liquid securities.

Reviews of the WDP take place annually and where appropriate are aligned to existing annual market exercise regimes (e.g. annual firedrills) in order to simulate the implications of executing the Recovery and/or Wind Down Plans to ensure they remain relevant. Additionally, where the underlying business model of LCH SA is amended, the change framework in place ensures the implication of the change to the business model is considered with reference to the WDP and the necessary updates made. The WDP is approved by LCH SA ERCo, Risk Committee and Board.

B. <u>Clearing Agency's Statement on Burden on Competition.</u>

Section 17A(b)(3)(I) of the Act requires that the rules of a clearing agency not impose any burden on competition not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Act.¹³ LCH SA does not believe that the proposed rule change would impose burdens on competition.

The proposed rule change would establish and maintain LCH SA's WDP in accordance with and for the purposes of the CCA rules. The Plan would not affect clearing member's access to services offered by LCH SA or impose any direct burden on clearing members.

In the extreme case in which LCH SA would have to wind down, and the business line is not suffering clearing losses, the same amount of time would be given to all the Clearing Members to close their positions at LCH SA. In addition, the plan determines that the clearing services would be closed globally, all members being treated identically.

Accordingly, the proposed rule change would not unfairly inhibit market participant's access to LCH SA's services or disadvantage or favor any particular user in relationship to another user.

¹³ 15 U.S.C. 78q-1(b)(3)(I).

Therefore, LCH SA does not believe that the proposed rule change imposes any burden on competition that is not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Act.

C. <u>Clearing Agency's Statement on Comments on the Proposed Rule Change</u> <u>Received from Members, Participants or Others</u>

Written comments relating to the proposed rule change have not been solicited or received. LCH SA will notify the Commission of any written comments received by LCH SA.

III. <u>Date of Effectiveness of the Proposed Rule Change and Timing for</u> <u>Commission Action</u>

Within 45 days of the date of publication of this notice in the <u>Federal Register</u> or within such longer period up to 90 days (i) as the Commission may designate if it finds such longer period to be appropriate and publishes its reasons for so finding or (ii) as to which the self-regulatory organization consents, the Commission will:

(A) by order approve or disapprove such proposed rule change, or

(B) institute proceedings to determine whether the proposed rule change should be disapproved.

IV. Solicitation of Comments

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views, and arguments concerning the foregoing, including whether the proposed rule change is consistent with the Act. Comments may be submitted by any of the following methods:

Electronic Comments:

• Use the Commission's Internet comment form

(http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml); or

 Send an e-mail to <u>rule-comments@sec.gov</u>. <u>Please include File Number SR-LCH</u> <u>SA-2017-013 on the subject line</u>.

Paper Comments:

 Send paper comments in triplicate to Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 100 F Street, NE, Washington, DC 20549-1090.

All submissions should refer to File Number SR-LCH SA-2017-013. This file number should be included on the subject line if e-mail is used. To help the Commission process and review your comments more efficiently, please use only one method. The Commission will post all comments on the Commission's Internet website (http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml). Copies of the submission, all subsequent amendments, all written statements with respect to the proposed rule change that are filed with the Commission, and all written communications relating to the proposed rule change between the Commission and any person, other than those that may be withheld from the public in accordance with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552, will be available for website viewing and printing in the Commission's Public Reference Room, 100 F Street, NE, Washington, DC 20549 on official business days between the hours of 10:00 am and 3:00 pm. Copies of the filing also will be available for inspection and copying at the principal office of LCH SA and on LCH SA's website at http://www.lch.com/assetclasses/cdsclear. All comments received will be posted without change; the Commission does not edit personal identifying information from submissions. You should submit only information that you wish to make available publicly. All submissions should refer to File Number SR-LCH SA-2017-009 and should be submitted on or before [Commission to insert date 21 days from publication in the Federal Register].

File No. SR-LCH SA-2017-013 Page 30 of 58

For the Commission, by the Division of Trading and Markets, pursuant to delegated authority.¹⁴

Secretary

¹⁴ 17 CFR 200.30-3(a)(12).

File No. SR-LCH SA-2017-013 Page 31 of 58

EXHIBIT 5

PAGE REDACTED IN ITS ENTIRETY

File No. SR-LCH SA-2017-013 Page 32 of 58

PAGE REDACTED IN ITS ENTIRETY

File No. SR-LCH SA-2017-013 Page 33 of 58

PAGE REDACTED IN ITS ENTIRETY

File No. SR-LCH SA-2017-013 Page 34 of 58

PAGE REDACTED IN ITS ENTIRETY

File No. SR-LCH SA-2017-013 Page 35 of 58

PAGE REDACTED IN ITS ENTIRETY

File No. SR-LCH SA-2017-013 Page 36 of 58

PAGE REDACTED IN ITS ENTIRETY

File No. SR-LCH SA-2017-013 Page 37 of 58

PAGE REDACTED IN ITS ENTIRETY

File No. SR-LCH SA-2017-013 Page 38 of 58

PAGE REDACTED IN ITS ENTIRETY

File No. SR-LCH SA-2017-013 Page 39 of 58

PAGE REDACTED IN ITS ENTIRETY

File No. SR-LCH SA-2017-013 Page 40 of 58

PAGE REDACTED IN ITS ENTIRETY

File No. SR-LCH SA-2017-013 Page 41 of 58

PAGE REDACTED IN ITS ENTIRETY

File No. SR-LCH SA-2017-013 Page 42 of 58

PAGE REDACTED IN ITS ENTIRETY

File No. SR-LCH SA-2017-013 Page 43 of 58

PAGE REDACTED IN ITS ENTIRETY

File No. SR-LCH SA-2017-013 Page 44 of 58

PAGE REDACTED IN ITS ENTIRETY

File No. SR-LCH SA-2017-013 Page 45 of 58

PAGE REDACTED IN ITS ENTIRETY

File No. SR-LCH SA-2017-013 Page 46 of 58

PAGE REDACTED IN ITS ENTIRETY

File No. SR-LCH SA-2017-013 Page 47 of 58

PAGE REDACTED IN ITS ENTIRETY

File No. SR-LCH SA-2017-013 Page 48 of 58

PAGE REDACTED IN ITS ENTIRETY

File No. SR-LCH SA-2017-013 Page 49 of 58

PAGE REDACTED IN ITS ENTIRETY

File No. SR-LCH SA-2017-013 Page 50 of 58

PAGE REDACTED IN ITS ENTIRETY

File No. SR-LCH SA-2017-013 Page 51 of 58

PAGE REDACTED IN ITS ENTIRETY

File No. SR-LCH SA-2017-013 Page 52 of 58

PAGE REDACTED IN ITS ENTIRETY

File No. SR-LCH SA-2017-013 Page 53 of 58

PAGE REDACTED IN ITS ENTIRETY

File No. SR-LCH SA-2017-013 Page 54 of 58

PAGE REDACTED IN ITS ENTIRETY

File No. SR-LCH SA-2017-013 Page 55 of 58

PAGE REDACTED IN ITS ENTIRETY

File No. SR-LCH SA-2017-013 Page 56 of 58

PAGE REDACTED IN ITS ENTIRETY

File No. SR-LCH SA-2017-013 Page 57 of 58

PAGE REDACTED IN ITS ENTIRETY

File No. SR-LCH SA-2017-013 Page 58 of 58

PAGE REDACTED IN ITS ENTIRETY